The Role of *α***-Tocopherol in Mitigating the Effect of Elevated CO² Concentrations Emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on** *Glycine max* **L. Plants**

Mohammed Abdulrahman Almuwayhi*

Department of Physics and Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Shaqra University, P.O. Box 33, Shaqra, 11961, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

*Author for correspondence; e-mail: malmuwayhi $@$ su.edu.sa

Received: 29 June 2020/ Revised: 14 December 2020/ Accepted: 4 January 2021

Soybean (*Glycine max* **L.), belonging to Leguminosae, is an essential food in Asian countries. It has become a necessity to study the long-term effects of elevated concentrations of CO² on plant growth and development. Climate change may be affected by the increment of CO² and higher temperatures on crops. Elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO² can negatively affect the yield as well as the quality of the field crops. Alpha-tocopherol is the major vitamin E compound found in leaf chloroplasts. This antioxidant deactivates photosynthesis-derived reactive oxygen species and prevents the propagation of lipid peroxidation by scavenging lipid peroxyl radicals in thylakoid membranes. AL-Hunay is an electrical power plant main station located in the Al-Hofuf agricultural area in Saudi Arabia. The role of** *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay on the morphological and physiological traits of soybean has been investigated in this study by selecting a site of 100 meters apart from the station. The results revealed a negative effect of elevated concentrations of CO2 on the morphological traits of soybean such as plant height, leaf area, and number of leaves. Furthermore, it also negatively affected the physiological traits such as the contents of P and K, mono- and disaccharides, chlorophyll a and b, and the activity of catalase, and superoxide dismutase. The results showed an obvious role of** *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of elevated CO² on soybean plants through regulating the growth, stimulating photosynthesis, protecting the cell membrane and chloroplasts, and tolerating oxidative stress. The current study provides proof for the positive role of** *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of elevated CO² emitted by the AL-Hunay power plant main station on the morphological and physiological traits of** *Glycine max* **L. plants.**

Keywords: *α*-Tocopherol, CO2, AL-Hunay power plant main station, morphological and physiological traits, *Glycine max*

Abbreviations: RuBP—ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate, Rubisco—ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, CAT—catalase, SOD—superoxide dismutase, GPX—guaiacol peroxidase, APX—ascorbate peroxidase, ROS—reactive Oxygen Species, and POD—peroxidase

INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.), a subtropical leguminous plant native to Southeast Asia, has been an essential food in Asian countries for over 5,000 years (Badole and Bodhankar 2013). Soybean has been widely consumed worldwide as a source of protein-rich food and beverages (Naresh et al. 2019; Hashiguchi and Komatsu 2017).

The concentration of carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$ has been increasing in the atmosphere for more than a century (Allen Jr. et al. 1988). It has become a necessity to study

the long-term effects of CO₂ concentration on plant growth, because the intense burning of fossil fuels and the destruction of forests promise to continue the rise of CO² in the atmosphere during the next century (Rogers et al. 1983). The concentration of $CO₂$ in the earth's atmosphere may double by the end of the 21st century. Oftentimes the response of plants to double CO² causes a decrease in nitrogen content, but the reasons for this decrease have been uncertain (Bloom et al. 2010). Climate change due to increased CO² and rising of temperature may affect the formation of crop seeds (Thomas et al. 2003). It is

important to study and understand crop responses to projected changes in climate (Zhao et al. 2003). Increases in the atmospheric CO² concentration have stimulated the response of agricultural crops to high levels of CO² (Kleemola et al. 1994). The high $CO₂$ in the atmosphere enhances the production of vegetables and can also affect their nutritional quality (Dong et al. 2018). It is important to uncover the possible effects of high CO₂ on the quality of important food crops such as wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as it is one of the most important food sources in the world. CO₂ also has profound effects on the nutritional value of wheat products as well as on many industrial sectors (Wu et al. 2004). High CO₂ concentration enhances vegetative growth but reduces the quality of the wheat grain due to the reduction in protein content (Blumenthal et al. 1996; Dong et al. 2018). Some plants grown under high concentrations of $CO₂$ also show significant changes in the chemical composition of their leaves and other plant parts. The rise of $CO₂$ leads to a decrease in the concentration of nitrogen which may have serious consequences on the quality of the crops (Weigel and Manderscheid 2005).

There is a very little information about the effects of elevated concentration of CO₂ on the basic cereals such as rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). It is expected that increases in CO² levels in the global atmosphere could lead to increase the yield of the crops, but major changes can potentially effect the food needs of the population, as the rice crop provides a large proportion of the nutritional needs, and data indicates that a high $CO₂$ can cause a decrease in the rice yield (Lieffering et al. 2004). The high concentration of CO² in the atmosphere may have an impact on the growth and production of the rice crop. Rice plants were cultivated at elevated concentrations of CO₂ of up to 700 μL in the growth chamber. The total above-ground biomass and grain yield were greater at elevated concentrations of CO² (Seneweera et al. 1997). Higher atmospheric CO² also affected protein concentrations in major food crops. In this regard, a study was conducted on barley, rice, wheat, soybean, and potato, grown at a CO₂ concentration of 540–958 μmol mol⁻¹. The protein concentration in the grains decreased by approximately 10-15% compared by the atmospheric $CO₂$ (315–400 μmol mol−1) (Taub et al. 2008). High levels of CO² in the atmosphere are likely to increase biomass production for C3 plant species in the natural ecosystems because of the higher rates of photosynthesis (Conroy 1992). Environmental stresses also lead to a variety of plant responses that lead to changes in cellular metabolism, growth, and genetic expression (Munné-Bosch 2005).

It is widely known that *α*-Tocopherol is necessary for human and animal health and is synthesized only in photosynthetic organisms in the membranes of chloroplasts (Trebst et al. 2002). *α*-Tocopherol is an antioxidant compound found in chloroplasts and the plants depend on it to protect themselves against oxygen toxicity (Munné-Bosch and Alegre 2002; Munné-Bosch 2005). It is also a fat-soluble antioxidant and is manufactured in most plants by oxygen-evolving phototrophs including some bacteria and all algae and plants (Abbasi et al. 2007; Sakuragi et al. 2006). So far, little is known about the specific roles of *α*-Tocopherol in various plant tissues (Abbasi et al. 2007). Foliarapplication of α -tocopherol enhanced the growth of maize and is associated with improvements in photosynthetic pigment, antioxidative mechanism, water relations, and better nutrient acquisition in root and shoot along with tocopherol contents, and a decrease in lipid peroxidation. Furthermore, the increase of tocopherol levels in roots after *α*-Toc foliar application confers its basipetal translocation. (Ali et al. 2020).

Thompson et al. (2017) discussed the effects of elevated carbon dioxide on photosynthesis and carbon partitioning. Increased photosynthesis under elevated CO² mainly occurs due to an increase in ribulose-1,5 bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) activity. Rubisco catalyzes the carboxylation of RuBP which is required for $CO₂$ fixation, but also uses $O₂$ as a substrate to oxygenate RuBP in a process called photorespiration (Makino and Mae 1999). The carboxylation reaction of RuBP is not saturated at the current atmospheric CO2, therefore, as the availability of CO² increases under elevated CO² conditions so too will the rate of carboxylation (Drake et al. 1997). The other process, photorespiration, is wasteful in terms of energy, as it costs the plant more energy and does not lead to any gains in energy or carbon (Peterhansel et al. 2010). However, increasing the atmospheric CO₂ levels increases the [CO2] surrounding Rubisco, shifting the ratio of CO2:O² and thereby increasing the rate of carboxylation while decreasing the rate of oxygenation (Makino and Mae 1999). Low availability of soil nitrate increases the severity of photosynthetic acclimation and also seems to be associated with an inhibition of leaf nitrate assimilation (Vicente et al. 2016). Inhibition of leaf nitrate assimilation also occurs under elevated CO² (Bloom et al. 2014). It is not known whether the reduction of Rubisco synthesis at elevated $CO₂$ is directly related to lower N assimilation or if Rubisco is just regulated to balance the source and sink activity. The concentration of sucrose, the main product of photosynthesis, increases in all organs of

pea plants exposed to elevated CO₂ in growth chambers; however, glucose concentrations are largely unaltered (Aranjuelo et al. 2013). In shorter periods of light, carbon partitioning shifts toward starch synthesis, while sucrose synthesis and consumption are decreased (Pokhilko et al. 2014). The plant's circadian clock prevents the total depletion of starch at night by setting limits on starch degradation based on the length of the night period (Martins et al. 2013).

This study aims to identify the role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of elevated concentrations of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the morphological and physiological traits of soybean (*Glycine max* L.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Planting

Seeds of soybean (*Glycine max* L. var. Giza 111) were planted in the botanical garden of the Faculty of Sciences, Shaqra University in 20 cm plastic pots containing 1:1 loamy sandy soil and sterilized with fungicides to prevent fungal infection. Each treatment has three pots with five seeds for each pot. The same soil was used for all treatments as well as the control.

Treatments

The plants of the control were kept in the botany garden and were allowed to continue its growth without any treatment until the morphological and physiological measurements were taken. The control plants were exposed to normal concentrations of CO₂ coming from fresh air in the botany garden.

The remaining four treatments were also put in the botany garden until the plants had fully emerged cotyledon leaves, then transferred to the location of the study which is 100 m from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station in the Al-Hofuf agricultural area in Saudi Arabia.

The *α*-Tocopherol ≥ 95.5% (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to prepare the concentrations of the treatments. Three concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol were prepared by dissolving the required quantity of each concentration (100, 200, and 300 mg/L) in distilled water. Then, the plants were irrigated with four concentrations of α-Tocopherol (0, 100, 200, and 300 mg/L) every 15 days and were simultaneously exposed to the pollution of CO² emitted from the power station.

The atmospheric CO₂ concentration was measured during the three months of the trial (May, June, and July) in the first location (the botany garden where the control was put) as well as in the second location (100 m from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station where the *α*-Tocopherol treatments were applied). The difference between 0 *α*-tocopherol and control plants is thet 0 *α*-tocopherol plants exposed to high elevated CO2. Whereas control plants were far away and exposed to normal CO₂ concentration.

Measurements

Leaf samples were collected from *Glycine max* plants at the end of vegetative growth but before flowering.

The concentration of CO₂ was measured daily at the botanical garden as well as at the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station using Stack Gas Level device model ECOM J2KN Pro Easy. The monthly average of CO² concentration was calculated.

Plant pigments were estimated using the method of Metzner (1965).

Protein content was estimated depending on the methods of Micro-Kjeldahlby (Benton Jones Jr. et al. 1991) and Bradford (1976).

Lipids were assessed according to the Soxhlet method (Luque de Castro et al. 1998).

Sugars were estimated using the Thayumanavan method (Feteris 1965; van Handel 1968; Thayumanavan and Sadasivam 1984).

The mineral elements content was measured according to Allen (1974) methods.

The activity of antioxidant enzymes was estimated according to the methods of Egley (1983), Aebi (1984), Giannopolitis (1977), Maria Sgherri (1994), and Asada (1984).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done according to randomized complete block design (RCBD) and analysis of variance using SAS software according to Steel and Torie (1996).

RESULTS

The CO² Concentrations Emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The results showed that the concentration of atmospheric CO² in the botanical garden at the Faculty of Science, Shaqra University is in the normal accepted limits (Table 1). They reached 345, 341, 347 ppm during the studied period of May, June, and July, respectively. However, the

Table 1. The recorded concentrations of CO2 emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station during the studied period.

Location	Month	CO ₂ Concentra- tion (ppm)
Botany garden (Control)	May	345
	June	341
	July	347
AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station	May	3340
	June	3385
	July	3565
Accepted limit CO ₂ air pollution		350

*Description of bioclimatic variables were adopted from O'Donnell and Ignizio (2012).

concentration of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station and measured at a distance of 100 m from the station was constantly increasing during the study period. An average of 3340, 3385, and 3565 ppm was recorded during May, June, and July, respectively, representing a 10 times increment compared with the concentration at the botanical garden. It is obvious that the concentration exceeded the accepted limit for air pollution with $CO₂$ (350 ppm).

The Role of *α***-Tocopherol in Mitigating the Effect of Elevated Concentration of CO² on the Morphological Traits of Soybean**

The results shown in Table 2 revealed the effect of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the elevated concentration of CO² emitted from the station on the plant height, leaf area, and leaf number of the *Glycine max* plants. The results indicated that *α*-Tocopherol concentrations (100, 200, 300 mg/L) played a role in mitigating the effect of CO² on the plant height which reached 49.6, 49.9, and 52.8 cm, respectively as compared to the plant height in untreated plants with *α*-Tocopherol which reached 46.8 m. The results also indicated that there was an effect on the average leaf area with significant differences between plants treated with different concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol compared to the control. There were also significant differences in the average number of leaves between treated plants with different concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol compared to the control. A decrement in the number of leaves was observed in untreated plants compared to the control. Treating the plants with *α*-Tocopherol mitigated the effect of CO₂ on the number of leaves (14.2,14.9, and 17.8) compared to the number of leaves in untreated plants (13.4).

The results shown in Table 3 revealed the role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO₂ emitted by the station on average wet and dry weight of root, stem, and leaf in soybean plants. A decrement in the wet weight of the root was observed in untreated plants compared to the control. The results also indicated that the concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol (100, 200, 300 mg/L) played a role in mitigating the effect of CO₂ on wet root weight compared to untreated plants. There was also a positive effect of treating the soybean plants with *α*-Tocopherol.

The Role of *α***-Tocopherol in Mitigating the Effect of Elevated Concentration of CO² on the Physiological Traits of Soybean**

The results showed the role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the negative effect of CO₂ emitted by the station on the leaf content of some mineral elements (Table 4). A decrement in the leaf content of Zn was observed in

Table 2. The role of *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the plant height, leaf area, and leaf number of** *Glycine max* **L.**

Treatments/			LSD			
Parameters	Control		100	200	300	0.05
Plant height (cm)	$59.2a \pm 17.1$	$46.8b + 12.2$	$496c + 122$	$49.9b + 12.9$	52 8a +17 2	3.2
Leaf area $(cm2)$	$911.2a \pm 309.9$	$507.8c \pm 140.5$	$537.4c + 151.5$	570 6c $+165$ 1	$675.3b + 221.2$	28.9
Number of leaves	$22.2a + 5.9$	$13.4c + 5.1$	$142c + 22$	$14.9c + 2.3$	$178h + 42$	0.94

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

Table 3. The role of *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the wet and dry weight of the root, stem and leaf of** *Glycine max* **L.**

Treatments/	Control		LSD			
Parameters			100	200	300	0.05
Root wet weight (g)	$31.1a \pm 6.1$	$22.1b + 2.9$	22.8cd $±4.2$	$25.6c + 3.2$	$28.8b + 5.2$	2.32
Stem wet weight (g)	$53.1a \pm 17.1$	$34.8c \pm 12.1$	$39.6b + 12.2$	$44.1b + 12.9$	$49.2a \pm 17.2$	2.94
Leaf wet weight (g)	$84.3a + 29.9$	$39.8d + 7.6$	43.4d \pm 8.5	$47.6c \pm 7.1$	$68.6b + 28.2$	4.61
Root dry weight (g)	$20.4a \pm 6.1$	$16.1c + 4.2$	18.0 bc ± 2.9	$18.2bc \pm 3.2$	$18.8b + 5.2$	1.82
Stem dry weight (g)	$24.7a + 5.6$	12.5d ± 4.3	$17.4b + 4.1$	$17.8c + 2.9$	$19.8a + 5.2$	1.45
Leaf dry weight (g)	$51.1a \pm 13.1$	18.2d ± 5.5	$20.8d \pm 3.5$	$21.1c + 4.1$	$35.8b + 7.2$	1.96

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

Treatments/	Control		LSD			
Parameters		0	100	200	300	0.05
Zn (ppm)	$0.21a \pm 0.1$	$0.12c \pm 0.08$	$0.13c \pm 0.15$	$0.14c \pm 0.08$	$0.15bc \pm 0.07$	0.02
Mn (ppm)	$39.6a + 2.4$	14.3d ± 6.3	$15.1d \pm 10.3$	$20.1c \pm 5.8$	$23.1b + 6.9$	2.7
P (ppm)	$2.7b + 0.3$	$1.3c \pm 0.8$	$1.7b + 1.4$	$2.1a \pm 0.7$	$2.3a \pm 1.4$	0.19
Ca (ppm)	$18.8b + 7.2$	$12.5d \pm 10.6$	15.9cd ± 8.1	$16.2a \pm 12.2$	$16.1bc \pm 15.6$	2.3
K (ppm)	$105.4a \pm 8.4$	53.2d \pm 17.3	$73.3bc \pm 12.3$	$74.5c \pm 19.1$	$82.1b \pm 8.9$	4.8
Copper (ppm)	$0.24a \pm 0.14$	0.13 bc ± 0.09	$0.14c \pm 0.09$	$0.15c \pm 0.08$	$0.16c + 0.18$	0.03
Iron (ppm)	$4.23a \pm 1.01$	$2.01d \pm 0.32$	2.14d ± 0.33	$3.25c \pm 0.23$	4.13b ± 0.57	0.31

Table 4. The role of *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the mineral content of the leaves of** *Glycine max* **L.**

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

untreated plants compared to the control. It was observed that *α*-Tocopherol has a role in mitigating the effect of CO² of Zn, which reached 0.15 ppm at a concentration of 300 mg/L *α*-Tocopherol compared to untreated plants (0.12 ppm). A decrement in the leaf content of Cu was observed in untreated plants (0.13 ppm) compared to the control. It was also observed that the concentration of 100, 200, and 300 mg/L of *α*-Tocopherol had a role in mitigating the effect of $CO₂$ on the content of Cu , as it reached 0.14, 0.15, and 0.16 ppm, respectively, compared with the content in untreated plants.

The results in Table 5 indicated that there is a positive role for *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO₂ on the activity of some antioxidant enzymes in the soybean plants. A decrement in the activity of antioxidant enzymes was observed in plants not treated with *α*-Tocopherol compared to the control. it was observed that *α*-Tocopherol has a positive role in mitigating the effect of CO² on the activity of antioxidant enzymes

catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) at concentrations of 100, 200, and 300 mg/L. Moreover, guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) activity increased at 300 mg/L whereas ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity was not affected by the three concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol.

The results presented in Table 6 showed the role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO₂ emitted from the power station on the leaf content of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids in the soybean plants. A reduction in the leaf content of chlorophyll a was observed in non-treated plants compared to the control. The results indicated that the concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol (100, 200, 300 mg/L) played a role in mitigating the effect of CO² on the leaf content of chlorophyll a which reached 0.19, 0.21, and 0,37 μ g /g, respectively, compared with untreated plants (0.18 μg /g).

The results shown in Table 7 indicated the positive role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO²

Table 5. The role of *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the activity of some antioxidant enzymes in** *Glycine max* **L.**

Treatments/	Control		LSD			
Parameters		0	100	200	300	0.05
Guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) (µmol/min/mg protein)	$2.70ab \pm 0.23$	$1.84h + 0.07$	$.89b + 0.06$	$2.11ab \pm 0.20$	$2.18a \pm 0.06$	0.19
Catalase(CAT) (µmol/ min/mg protein)	$58.12a \pm 1.44$	$43.35e + 1.39$	$47.25d \pm 1.39$	$49.11c + 1.01$	$49.82b + 0.71$	0.12
Superoxide dismutase (SOD) (umol/min/mq protein)	$49.02a + 2.44$	41.63d $±4.51$	$43.13c + 3.21$	$46.16c + 3.29$	$46.67b + 1.06$	1.17
Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) ($µmol/min/mg$ protein)	$2.51a \pm 0.09$	$1.92b + 0.05$	$.86b + 0.06$	$2.02b + 0.25$	$2.13b + 0.27$	0.16

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

emitted from the station on the leaf content of mono-, di-, and polysaccharides in the soybean plants. There are significant differences between plants treated with different concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol compared to the control. A decrement in the leaf content of disaccharides was observed in non-treated plants compared to the control. The results also indicated that the concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol (100, 200, and 300 mg/L) have a helpful role in mitigating the effect of CO₂ on disaccharides compared to non-treated plants.

The results in Table 8 showed elucidate the helpful role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the power station on the leaf content of lipids, total proteins, and soluble proteins in the *Glycine max* plants. A reduction in the leaf content of lipids, total proteins, and soluble proteins was observed in nontreated plants compared to the control. It was noticed that *α*-Tocopherol had a role in mitigating the effect of CO₂ on the leaf content of lipids, total proteins, and soluble proteins at concentrations of 100, 200, 300 mg/L.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO₂ emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station in Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) was studied.

Much is still unknown about how a high CO₂ concentration will decrease photosynthesis when CO2 is the primary substrate. Plants will react to elevated CO2, the primary substrate of photosynthesis. In plants grown under elevated CO2, carbohydrate production is increased due to an increase in photosynthesis. It is possible to use these carbohydrates, especially glucose and sucrose signaling pathways, to regulate many root functions. The acquisition of nutrients appears to be regulated by sugars, as evidenced by the regulation of the expression of different ion transporters and the ability of sugars to influence root development. The biosynthesis of some plant hormones may influence both elevated CO₂ and sugars, which may mean that sugars act as an intermediate in elevated CO² hormone regulation. (Jayawardena et al. 2017; Broberg et al. 2017; Thompson et al. 2017).

The results showed that the CO₂ emitted from the plant influences the morphological traits. It was observed that there was growth inhibition in the root and stems, leaf area, and number of leaves compared to the control. This decrease in plant growth may be due to the decrease in photosynthesis because of high concentration of CO2. These findings are consistent with many previous investigations (Ainsworth et al. 2007; Bloom et al. 2010; Leakey et al. 2009). Changes in plant growth response to high CO₂ concentrations depend on the growth potential or growth conditions of a species (Reich et al. 2014; Lopes et al. 2018), as well as the species' developmental strategy, such as the creation of new sinks for extra C (Ceulemans and Mousseau 1994). Several studies have suggested that growth under elevated CO² concentrations leads to shifts in the root system architecture, which could affect the nutrient uptake capacity (Bloom et al. 2014; Cha et al. 2017).

The exposure of the plants to high concentrations of CO² resulted in a decrease in the plant height and a decrease in the leaf area. These results are in alignment with those in the studies of Debin (Zhao et al. 2003) and Sanchez (2000). However, they are in contrast with the

Table 7. The role of *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the content of sugars in the leaves of** *Glycine max* **L.**

Treatments/	Control	a-Tocopherol (mg/L)				∟SD.
Parameters		0	100	200	300	0.05
Monosaccharides (mg/g)	7.33a ±1.01	4.14d ± 0.33	4.74d ± 0.33	$5.25c \pm 0.23$	6.13b \pm 0.77	0.36
Disaccharide (mg/g)	$23.2a + 5.3$	13.4d \pm 4.1	$174h + 39$	$191c + 28$	$19.9a + 4.8$	1.53
Polysaccharides (mg/g)	$38.2a + 5.9$	$27.2b + 3.4$	27 6cd $+4$ 4	$30.6c + 3.6$	$32.4b + 5.6$	2.6

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

Table 8. The role of *α***-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of CO² emitted from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station on the lipids, total protein, and soluble protein in** *Glycine max* **L.**

Treatments/	Control	α-Tocopherol (mg/L)				LSD
Parameters			100	200	300	0.05
Lipids $(\%)$	$4.21a \pm 1.05$	$2.24c \pm 0.43$	$3.02b + 0.43$	$3.25a \pm 0.24$	$3.42a + 0.67$	0.21
Total protein (%)	$18.4a \pm 3.1$	11.6d ± 3.4	13.1c ± 4.4	$13.7c + 5.4$	$15.7b + 3.2$	0.89
Soluble protein (mg/g)	$8.7a \pm 0.13$	$7.2d \pm 0.07$	$7.6b \pm 0.15$	$8.3c + 0.15$	$8.4b \pm 0.10$	0.09

Values represent mean ± standard deviation. The averages followed by the same letter within the same row do not differ significantly from each other.

results of Acock (1990) who indicated that the high concentration of CO² has led to an increase in the rate of photosynthesis and increase the growth of stems and sizes of most vegetative parts of the plant. They are also inconsistent with the reports of Rogers (1983) and Conroy (1992) who reported that the increase in $CO₂$ above the accepted limit led to an increase in the growth of plant parts and an increase in the area of plant leaves.

The results also showed that there is an effect of $CO₂$ emitted from the power station on the physiological traits. It was observed that the content of soybean leaves of the elements (Zn, Mg, P, Ca, K, Cu, and Fe) decreases in plants growing at the power station compared to the control in the botanical garden. This decrement in mineral elements may be attributed to their exposure to high concentrations of CO2, and thus may affect the signals inside plant cells through interaction with the main components. These results are in alignment with many previous investigations. For instance, Munné-Bosch and Alegre (2002) and Fangmeier (1996) pointed out that all mineral elements except P and Fe decreased. Fangmeier (2002) also indicated that the plant exposed to high concentrations of CO² led to a decrease in all mineral elements. Chaudhuri (1986) and Wu (2004) reported that the high CO² concentration led to a decrease in the elements of P, K, and Zn. Loladze (2002) found that the rise in CO² led to a decrease in important mineral elements such as Fe, I, and Zn. Fangmeier (1997) indicated that the increase in the concentration of $CO₂$ affected the elements of Mg and Zn in green tissues, while the P was not affected by the high concentration of CO2. Manderscheid (1995) who discovered that the rise in CO₂ causes a decrease in the Mg and K. Dong et al. (2018) found that high CO² reduced the concentration of Mg, Fe, and Zn.

The average leaf content of chlorophylls and carotenoids decreased in response to the high concentration of CO² in the plants grown near the power station compared to the control grown in the botanical garden. This deficiency may be due to the high concentration of CO2, which may affect the efficiency of the chloroplasts in the leaves and thus reduce the content of chlorophyll and carotenoids. Our findings of this study agree with Munné-Bosch and Alegre (2002) but contrasted with Dong (2018) who indicated that the leaf content of chlorophyll and carotenoids was not affected by the high concentration of CO2.

A decrease in the leaf content of all sugars was also observed. This finding agrees with Thomas (2003) who indicated that the plant exposure to elevated concentrations of CO² up to 700 μmol mol−1 resulted in a

decrease in the sugar content in the *Glycine max* L. plants. It also agrees with Chaudhuri (1986) who observed that exposing the plant to a high concentration of CO² leads to a decrease in carbohydrates. In contrast, it is inconsistent with many previous studies. For instance, Conroy (1994) indicated that the high concentration of atmospheric CO² improves plants' tolerance to heat stress due to its facilitation of maintaining cell size and photosynthesis function in the leaves, and leads to increased carbohydrate storage in the stems. Rogers (1996) found that the sugars content increased when the plants were exposed to elevated concentrations of CO² (900 μmol mol−1). Wu (2004) exposed wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants to two concentrations of $CO₂$ (350 and 700 µmol mol−1), respectively. He observed that there was a significant increase in carbohydrates. Dong (2018) reported that elevated atmospheric CO₂ enhanced the concentrations of fructose, glucose, and soluble sugar.

In our experiment, the negative effect of elevated CO² on the leaf content of lipids and proteins was also observed. Our results are inconsistent with the results of Taub (2008) on barley, rice, wheat, soybean, and potato grown at CO² concentration of 540–958 μmol mol−1. He noticed a reduction in grain proteins with ~10-15%. Other studies also reported no negative effect of elevated CO² on the leaf protein content (Bencze et al. 2004; Kimball et al. 2001). However, our results agree with the findings of Sæbø (1996) who recorded a negative effect of high concentration of CO₂ on the growth, productivity, and quality of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, cv. Thule), and the protein content decreased by 8%. Pleijel (2000) also found that the exposure of the spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Dragon) resulted in a high concentration of CO₂ leading to a negative decrease in the protein content with 13%. Our results are also in parallel with many previous studies (Blumenthal et al. 1996; Chaudhuri et al. 1986; Conroy et al. 1994; Conroy 1992; Rogers et al. 1998; Rogers et al. 1996; Rogers et al. 1984; Terao et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2004; Ziska et al. 2004) which indicated that high concentrations of CO² lead to reduction in the protein content.

An increase in the levels of ROS under stressful environments is a general phenomenon. These overly produced ROS directly affect different cellular membranes through lipid peroxidation. As a defense for the protection of the cellular membranes and other components from the deleterious and damaging effects of overproduced ROS, plants have evolved well-developed mechanisms for the antioxidation of ROS composed of non-enzymatic (AsA, phenolics, carotenoids, flavonoids, tocopherol, etc.) and enzymatic (SOD, POD, CAT, APX) components (Ali et al. 2018). Reports state that

14

α-Tocopherol is also an excellent quencher and scavenger of singlet oxygen by controlling the lifetime of ROS. By resonance energy transfer, one *α*-Tocopherol molecule can neutralize up to 120 molecules of singlet oxygen. The activities of antioxidants such as SOD, POD, and CAT were found to be higher in leaves and roots of maize plants after *α*-Toc treatment, which suggested their antioxidative role to be stimulated in the presence of *α*-Toc (Ali et al. 2020).

The elevated CO₂ also affected negatively the leaf content of antioxidant enzymes (guaiacol peroxidase, catalase, superoxide dismutase, and ascorbate peroxidase) and plant systems inside the cell that control the growth and development of plants and the action of enzymes. These findings are consistent with many previous studies (Conroy et al. 1994; Fangmeier et al. 1999; Fangmeier et al. 1996) that indicated that the rise in $CO₂$ leads to partial disruption of enzymes.

In this investigation, the second group of plants were located 100 m from the AL-Hunay Power Plant Main Station and were spontaneously exposed to elevated concentrations of CO² emitted from the power station (Table 1). These soybean plants were treated with four levels of *α*-Tocopherol (0, 100, 200, and 300 mg/L) to mitigate the effect of elevated CO² on their morphological and physiological traits. *α*-Tocopherol may have a role in regulating plant growth, activating of photosynthesis, protection of the cell membrane, protection of chloroplasts, and helping the plant to withstand oxidative stress resulting from the exposure to elevated concentration of CO2. The results revealed a helpful and positive effect of *α*-Tocopherol on all studied morphophysiological traits of soybean. The study are harmonious with the findings of Munné-Bosch and Alegre (2002) who indicated that *α*-Tocopherol protects the components of the cell membrane, regulates the plant's response to stress, and provides protection for chloroplasts. *α*-Tocopherol may also have a role against the oxidative stress caused by plant exposure to high concentration of CO² through degradation of free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Munné-Bosch and Alegre 2002; Munné-Bosch 2005; Sakuragi et al. 2006). The use of different concentrations of *α*-Tocopherol may lead to a variety of plant responses that lead to changes in cellular metabolism and contribute to plant tolerance to stress (Munné-Bosch 2005).

CONCLUSION

The role of α -Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of $CO₂$ emitted from the AL-Hunay on the morphological and physiological traits of soybean has been investigated in this study. The results revealed a negative effect of elevated concentrations of CO₂ on the morphological and physiological traits of soybean. The current study provides proof for the positive role of *α*-Tocopherol in mitigating the effect of elevated CO² the morphological and physiological traits of *Glycine max* L. plants. Thus, this study recommends using 300 mg/L *α*-Tocopherol as a soil application for soybean plants to enhance its tolerance to elevated CO2.

REFERENCES CITED

- ABBASI A, HAJIREZAEI M, HOFIUS D, SONNEWALD U, VOLL LM. 2007. Specific roles of *α*- and γtocopherol in abiotic stress responses of transgenic tobacco. 143(4): 1720-1738.
- ACOCK B. 1990. Effects of carbon dioxide on photosynthesis, plant growth, and other processes. Impact of Carbon Dioxide, Trace Gases, and Climate Change on Global Agriculture 53: 45-60.
- AEBI H. 1984. Catalase *in vitro*. Methods in Enzymology. 105: 121-126.
- AINSWORTH EA, ROGERS A. 2007. Plant Cell Environment. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO2]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. 30 (3): 258-270.
- ALI Q, JAVED MT, NOMAN A, HAIDER M Z, WASEEM M, IQBAL N, WASEEM M, SHAH MS, PERVEEN R. 2018. Assessment of drought tolerance in mung bean cultivars/lines as depicted by the activities of germination enzymes, seedling's antioxidative potential and nutrient acquisition. Archives of agronomy and soil science 64 (1): 84-102.
- ALI Q, JAVED MT, HAIDER MZ, HABIB N, RIZWAN M, PERVEEN R, ALI S, ALYEMENI M, EL-SEREHY HA, AL-MISNED, F. A. 2020. α-Tocopherol foliar spray and translocation mediates growth, photosynthetic pigments, nutrient uptake, and oxidative defense in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under drought stress. Agronomy 10 (9): 1235.
- ALLEN LH JR, VU JCV, VALLE RR. 1988. Nonstructural carbohydrates and nitrogen of soybean grown under carbon dioxide enrichment. 28 (1): 84-94.
- ALLEN SE. 1974. Chemical analysis of ecological materials. Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- ARANJUELO I, CABRERIZO PM, ARRESE-IGOR C, APARICIO-TEJO PM. 2013. Pea plant responsiveness under elevated $[CO_2]$ is conditioned by the N source (N² fixation versus NO3− fertilization). Environ. Exp. Bot. 95, 34–40. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot. 06.002

- ASADA K. 1984. Chloroplasts: formation of active oxygen and its scavenging. In, *Methods in enzymology*. Elsevier. p. 422-429.
- BADOLE S, BODHANKAR SL. 2013. *Glycine max* (soya bean) treatment for diabetes. In: bioactive foods as dietary interventions, Watson, R.R. and V.R. Preedy (Eds.). Ist Edn., Academic Press, USA., p. 77-82.
- BENCZE S, VEISZ O, BEDŐ Z. 2004. Effects of high atmospheric CO² and heat stress on phytomass, yield and grain quality of winter wheat. p. 75-82.
- BLOOM AJ, BURGER M, KIMBALL BA, PINTER JR PJ. 2014. Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated CO² in field-grown wheat. Nature Climate Change. 4 (6): 477-480.
- BLOOM AJ, BURGER M, ASENSIO JSR, COUSINS AB. 2010. Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. 328 (5980): 899-903.
- BLUMENTHAL C, RAWSON H, MCKENZIE E, GRAS PW. 1996. Changes in wheat grain quality due to doubling the level of atmospheric CO2. 73(6): 762-766.
- BRADFORD MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. 72 (1-2): 248-254.
- BROBERG MC, HÖGY P, PLEIJEL H. 2017. CO2-induced changes in wheat grain composition: meta-analysis and response functions. Agronomy. (7) p. 32.
- CEULEMANS R, MOUSSEAU M. 1994. Tansley review no. 71 Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on woody plants. New phytologist. 127 (3): 425-446.
- CHA S, CHAE HM, LEE SH, SHIM JK. 2017. Effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on growth and leaf litter decomposition of *Quercus acutissima* and *Fraxinus rhynchophylla*. PloS one, 12 (2): e0171197.
- CHAUDHURI U, BURNETT RB, KANEMASU ET, KIRKHAM MB. 1986. Response of vegetation to carbon dioxide - Effect of elevated levels of CO₂ on winter wheat under two moisture regimes. [*Triticum aestivum* L]. Kansas State Univ., Manhattan (USA). Evapotranspiration Lab.
- CONROY J, SENEWEERA S, BASRA AS, ROGERS G, NISSENWOOLLER B. 1994. Influence of rising atmospheric CO² concentrations and temperature on growth, yield and grain quality of cereal crops. 21 (6): 741-758.
- CONROY JP. 1992. Influence of elevated atmospheric CO² concentrations on plant nutrition. 40 (5): 445-456.
- DE CASTRO ML, GARCIA-AYUSO LE. 1998. Soxhlet extraction of solid materials: an outdated technique with a promising innovative future. Analytica chimica acta. 369 (1-2): 1-10.
- DE LA PUENTE LS, PÉREZ PP, MARTINEZ-CARRASCO R, MORCUENDE RMM, DEL MOLINO IMM. 2000. Action of elevated CO₂ and high temperatures on the mineral chemical composition of two varieties of wheat. 44 (5/6): 221-230.
- DONG J, GRUDA N, LAM SK, LI X, DUAN Z. 2018. Effects of elevated CO₂ on nutritional quality of vegetables: a review. 9: 924.
- DRAKE BG, GONZÀLEZ-MELER MA, LONG SP. 1997. More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO2? Annual review of plant biology, 48 (1): 609-639.
- EGLEY G, PAUL JR RN, VAUGHN KC, DUKE SO. 1983. Role of peroxidase in the development of waterimpermeable seed coats in *Sida spinosa* L. 157 (3): 224 -232.
- FANGMEIER A, DE TEMMERMAN L, BLACK C, PERSSON K, VORNE V. 2002. Effects of elevated CO² and/or ozone on nutrient concentrations and nutrient uptake of potatoes. 17(4): 353-368.
- FANGMEIER A, GRÜTERS U, HÖGY P, VERMEHREN B, JÄGER H J. 1997. Effects of elevated CO₂, nitrogen supply and tropospheric ozone on spring wheat—II. Nutrients (N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn). 96 (1): 43- 59.
- FANGMEIERA A, DE TEMMERMAN L, MORTENSEN L, KEMP K, BURKE J, MITCHELLE R, VAN OIJEN M, WEIGEL HJ. 1999. Effects on nutrients and on grain quality in spring wheat crops grown under elevated CO² concentrations and stress conditions in the European, multiple-site experiment 'ESPACEwheat'. 10 (3-4): 215-229.
- FANGMEIER A, GRUETERS U, VERMEHREN B, JAEGER, HJ. 1996. Responses of some cereal cultivars to CO² enrichment and tropospheric ozone at different levels of nitrogen supply. 70 (1-2): 12-18.
- FETERIS WA. 1965. A serum glucose method without protein precipitation. 31: 17-21.
- GIANNOPOLITIS CN, RIES SK. 1977. Superoxide dismutases: I. Occurrence in higher plants. 59 (2): 309 -314.
- HASHIGUCHI A, KOMATSU S. 2017. Proteomics of soybean plants. In, *Proteomics in food science*. Elsevier p. 89-105.
- JAYAWARDENA R, FERNANDO P, LOKUNARANGODA N, PATHIRANA AK. 2017. Effects of "plate model" as a part of dietary intervention on modification of selected cardiometabolic risk factors in post-myocardial infarction patients: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. DOI:10.1186/s13063-017-2057-6.
- JONES JR JB, WOLF B, MILLS HA. 1991. Plant analysis handbook. A practical sampling, preparation, analysis, and interpretation guide. Micro-Macro Publishing, Inc.
- KIMBALL B, MORRIS C, PINTER JR P, WALL G, HUNSAKER D, ADAMSEN F, LAMORTE R, LEABITT S, THOMPSON T, MATTHIAS A, BROOKS T. 2001. Elevated CO2, drought and soil nitrogen effects on wheat grain quality. 150 (2): 295-303.
- KLEEMOLA J, PELTONEN J, PELTONEN-SAINIO P. 1994. Apical development and growth of barley under different CO₂ and nitrogen regimes. 173 (2): 79-92.
- LEAKEY AD, AINSWORTH EA, BERNACCHI CJ, ROGERS A, LONG, SP, ORT, DR. 2009. Elevated CO² effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. 60 (10): 2859-2876.
- LIEFFERING M, KIM HY, KOBAYASHI K, OKADA M. 2004. The impact of elevated CO₂ on the elemental concentrations of field-grown rice grains. 88 (2-3): 279 -286.
- LOLADZE I. 2002. Rising atmospheric CO₂ and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? 17 (10): 457-461.
- LOPES A, FERREIRA AB, PANTOJA PO, PAROLIN P, PIEDADE MTF. 2018. Combined effect of elevated CO² level and temperature on germination and initial growth of *Montrichardia arborescens* (L.) Schott (Araceae): a microcosm experiment. Hydrobiologia, 814 (1): 19-30.
- MAKINO A, MAE T. 1999. Photosynthesis and plant growth at elevated levels of CO2. Plant and Cell Physiology, 40 (10): 999-1006.
- MANDERSCHEID R, BENDER J, JÄGER HJ, WEIGEL, HJ. 1995. Effects of season long CO₂ enrichment on cereals. II. Nutrient concentrations and grain quality. 54 (3): 175-185.
- MARTINS MCM, HEJAZI M, FETTKE J, STEUP M, FEIL R, KRAUSE U, ARRIVAULT S, VOSLOH D, FIGUEROA CM, IVAKOV A, YADAV UP, PIQUES M, METZNER D, STITT M, LUNN JE. 2013. Feedback inhibition of starch degradation in Arabidopsis leaves mediated by trehalose 6-phosphate. Plant Physiol. 163: 1142–1163. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.226787
- METZNER H, RAU H, SENGER HJP. 1965. Untersuchungen zur synchronisierbarkeit einzelner pigmentmangel-mutanten von Chlorella. 1965; 65 (2): 186-194.
- MUNNÉ-BOSCH S, ALEGRE L. 2002. The function of tocopherols and tocotrienols in plants. 21 (1): 31-57.
- MUNNÉ-BOSCH S. 2005. The role of *α*-tocopherol in plant stress tolerance. 162(7): 743-748.
- NARESH S, ONG MK, THIAGARAJAH K, MUTTIAH NBSJ, KUNASUNDARI B, LYE HS. 2019. Engineered soybean-based beverages and their impact on human health. In, Non-Alcoholic Beverages. Elsevier. p. 329- 361.
- PETERHANSEL C, HORST I, NIESSEN M, BLUME C, KEBEISH R, KÜRKCÜOGLU S, KERUZALER F. 2010. Photorespiration. Arabidopsis book 8:e0130. doi: 10.1199/tab.0130
- PLEIJEL H, GELANG J, SILD E, DANIELSSON H, YOUNIS S, KARLSSON PE, WALLIN G, SKÄRBY L, SELLDÉN G. 2000. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide, ozone and water availability on spring wheat growth and yield. 108 (1): 61-70.
- POKHILKO A, FLIS A, SULPICE R, STITT M, EBENHOH O. 2014. Adjustment of carbon fluxes to light conditions regulates the daily turnover of starch in plants: a computational model. Mol. Biosyst. 10, 613–627. doi: 10.1039/C3MB70459A
- REICH PB, HOBBIE SE, LEE TD. 2014. Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO₂ eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation. Nature geoscience, 7 (12): 920-924.
- ROGERS G, MILHAM P, GILLINGS MR, CONROY JP. 1996. Sink strength may be the key to growth and nitrogen responses in N-deficient wheat at elevated CO2. 23 (3): 253-264.
- ROGERS G, GRAS PW, BATEY IL, MILHAM P, PAYNE L CONROY JP. 1998. The influence of atmospheric CO² concentration on the protein, starch and mixing properties of wheat flour. 25 (3): 387-393.

- ROGERS H, BINGHAM GE, CURE JD, SMITH JM, SURANO KA. 1983. Responses of selected plant species to elevated carbon dioxide in the field 1. 12 (4): 569-574.
- ROGERS HH, CURE JD, THOMAS JF, SMITH JM. 1984. Influence of elevated CO₂ on growth of soybean plants 1. 24 (2): 361-366.
- SÆBØ A, MORTENSEN LM. 1996. Ecosystems and environment. Growth, morphology and yield of wheat, barley and oats grown at elevated atmospheric CO² concentration in a cool, maritime climate. 57 (1): 9-15.
- SAKURAGI Y, MAEDA H, DELLAPENNA D, BRYANT DA. 2006. *α*-Tocopherol plays a role in photosynthesis and macronutrient homeostasis of the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 that is independent of its antioxidant function. 141 (2): 508- 521.
- SANCHEZ CA. 2000. Response of lettuce to water and N on sand and the potential for leaching of nitrate-N. HortScience 35: 73-77.
- SANDRASEKARAN N, ONG MK, THIAGARAJAH K, MUTTIAH NBSJ, BALAKRISHNAN K, LYE HS. 2019. Engineered soybean-based beverages and their impact on human health. In, *Non-Alcoholic Beverages*. Elsevier. p. 329-361.
- SENEWEERA SP, CONROY JP, NUTRITION P. 1997. Growth, grain yield and quality of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in response to elevated $CO₂$ and phosphorus nutrition. 43 (sup1): 1131-1136.
- SGHERRI CLM, LOGGINI B, PULIGA S, NAVARI-IZZO F. 1994. Antioxidant system in *Sporobolus stapfianus*: changes in response to desiccation and rehydration. 35 (3): 561-565.
- SRINIVASARAO C, VENKATESWARLU B, LAL R, SINGH AK, KUNDU S. 2013. Sustainable management of soils of dryland ecosystems of India for enhancing agronomic productivity and sequestering carbon. In, *Advances in agronomy*. Elsevier. p. 253-329.
- STEEL RG, TORRIE JH. 1980. New York. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Co. 633 p.
- TAUB DR, MILLER B, ALLEN H. 2008. Effects of elevated CO² on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta‐analysis. 14 (3): 565-575.
- TERAO T, MIURA S, YANAGIHARA T, HIROSE T, NAGATA K, TABUCHI H, KIM HY, LIEFFERING

M, OKADA M, KOBAYASHI K. 2005. Influence of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) on the eating quality of rice. 85 (11): 1861-1868.

- THAYUMANAVAN B, SADASIVAM S. 1984. Physicohemical basis for the preferential uses of certain rice varieties. 34 (4): 253-259.
- THOMAS J, BOOTE KJ, ALLEN JR LH, MEAGHER MG, DAVIS JM. 2003. Elevated temperature and carbon dioxide effects on soybean seed composition and transcript abundance. 43 (4): 1548-1557.
- THOMPSON M, GAMAGE D, HIROTSU N, MARTIN A, SENEWEERA S. 2017. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide on photosynthesis and carbon partitioning: a perspective on root sugar sensing and hormonal crosstalk. Frontiers in Physiology. 8: 578.
- TREBST A, DEPKA B, HOLLÄNDER-CZYTKO H. 2002. A specific role for tocopherol and of chemical singlet oxygen quenchers in the maintenance of photosystem II structure and function in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. 516 (1-3): 156-160.
- VAN HANDEL E. 1968. Direct microdetermination of sucrose. 22 (2): 280-283.
- VICENTE R, PÉREZ P, MARTÍNEZ-CARRASCO R, FEIL R, LUNN JE, WATANABE M, ARRIVAULT S, STITT M, HOEFGEN R, MORCUENDE R. 2016. Metabolic and transcriptional analysis of durum wheat responses to elevated CO² at low and high nitrate supply. Plant cell physiol. 57: 2133–2146. doi: 10.1093/ pcp/pcw131
- WEIGEL H, MANDERSCHEID R. 2005. CO2 enrichment effects on forage and grain nitrogen content of pasture and cereal plants. 13 (1-2): 73-89.
- WU DX, WANG GX, BAI YF, LIAO JX. 2004. Effects of elevated CO² concentration on growth, water use, yield and grain quality of wheat under two soil water levels. 104 (3): 493-507.
- ZHAO D, REDDY KR, KAKANI VG, READ J, SULLIVAN JH. 2003. Growth and physiological responses of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) to elevated carbon dioxide and ultraviolet‐β radiation under controlled environmental conditions. 26 (5): 771-782.
- ZISKA L, MORRIS C, GOINS EW. 2004. Quantitative and qualitative evaluation of selected wheat varieties released since 1903 to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide: can yield sensitivity to carbon dioxide be a factor in wheat performance? 10 (10): 1810-1819.

18